





United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/512,085	-	02/24/2000	Fredrica V. Coates	50014-042 5426		
20277	7590	12/11/2002				
MCDERM	OTT WI	LL & EMERY	EXAMINER			
600 13TH S WASHING		N.W. 20005-3096		REICHLE,	KARIN M	
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				3761	15	
				DATE MAILED: 12/11/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•									
-	Application	n No.	Applicant(s)	\mathcal{M}					
	09/512,085	5	COATES, FREDRICA V.						
Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit						
	Karin M. Re		3761						
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address -	-					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM									
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replectif NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute. - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	136(a). In no ever ly within the statut will apply and will e. cause the appli	nt, however, may a reply be tim ory minimum of thirty (30) days expire SIX (6) MONTHS from pation to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communica D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ation.					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30	September 2	<u> 2002</u> .							
·	his action is								
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	ance except	for formal matters, pr	rosecution as to the men	ts is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims		<i>layle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 U.G. 213.						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are pending in the application									
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.									
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.									
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.									
8) Claim(s) 1-18 are subject to restriction and/or	election req	uirement.							
Application Papers	er								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.									
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.									
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.									
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.									
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120									
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).									
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:									
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.									
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No									
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.									
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).									
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.									
	one priority ui	idei 33 0,3.0. 99 120	, aliu/VI 121.						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) T Interview Summar	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s)						
2) Notice of References Cited (P10-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	·	·	Patent Application (PTO-152)	 :					

Application/Control Number: 09/512,085

Art Unit: 3761

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restriction

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the 1. claimed invention: the species of Figures 1-1G, the species of Figures 2-2E, the species of Figures 3-3F, the species of Figures 4-4B, the species of Figures 5-5B, the species of Figures 6-6B, the species of Figures 7-7B, the species of Figure 8, and the species of Figure 9.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/512,085

Art Unit: 3761

be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission

may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

2. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an

election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR

1.143). This election is being mailed because Applicant set forth in the remarks of the last

response that claim 1 was directed to the device of Figures 1-6 while claim 16 was directed to the

device of Figures 7-9, yet claims 4-6 and 15 which were previously read on the species of Figures

7-9 depend from claim 1, i.e. where in Figures 1-6 is such structure supported? Also the

certification in the 8-6-02 IDS is not the same as that set forth in MPEP 609, i.e. does not certify

each item of information was first cited in any communication from a foreign patent office in a

counterpart foreign application not more than three months prior to filing of the statement.

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to K. M. Reichle whose telephone number is (703) 308-2617. The

Examiner's regular work schedule is Monday-Thursday.

K. M. Reichle

December 9, 2002

K.M. Revolve Evan Revolve Patient Examiner